	Casce 3:03:09-01-1079-19-WSWD obcorrorent r42	2526 Fileed 100/2245/200606PagFeagent 3of 3
1	A. James Isbester, SBN 129820	
2	jamie@iandalaw.com Elaine Stracker, SBN 203529 elaine@iandalaw.com ISBESTER & ASSOCIATES, LLP	
3		
4	3160 College Avenue, Suite 203 Berkeley, California 94705	
5	Telephone: 510-655-3014 Facsimile: 510-655-3614	
6		
7	LASER DESIGN INTERNATIONAL, LLC	
8	KENNEH B.WILSON, Bar No. 130009 kwilson@perkinscoie.com SCOTT D. EADS, Bar No. 91040, admitted pro hac vice seads@perkinscoie.com ELIZABETH SCHWARTZ, Bar No. 96112, admitted pro hac vice eschwartz@perkinscoie.com PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, California 94111-4131 Telephone: 415-344-7000 Facsimile: 415-344-7050	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants CRYSTAL MAGIC, INC., JIMAC MARKETING, INC. CERION GMBH, AND VITRO LASER GMBH	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
19		
20	LASER DESIGN INTERNATIONAL,	Case No. C 03-1179 JSW
21	LLC, et al.,	Consolidated with No. C 03-3905 JSW
22	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
23	V.	Hon. Jeffrey S. White
24	CRYSTAL MAGIC, INC., et al.,	
25	Defendants.	
26	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
27		
28		
	41293-0001/LEGAL11987445.1	-1- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER

Plaintiff Laser Design International, LLC ("Plaintiff") and defendants and counterclaimants Crystal Magic, Inc., Jimac Marketing, Inc., Cerion GmbH, and Vitro Laser GmbH ("Defendants"), by their undersigned counsel, hereby submit the following stipulation:

- 1. This Court, in its October 20, 2006, order set a hearing on December 8, 2006, on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Adjudication. The Court set the deadline for Defendants' opposition brief to this motion for November 3, 2006, and the deadline for Plaintiff's reply brief for November 13, 2006.
- 2. The parties stipulate to and hereby request for good cause shown that this briefing schedule be modified by one week. Counsel for both parties request this extension for good cause based on conflicting travel schedules.
- 3. Defendants request a one-week extension because Defendants' primary counsel with respect to this matter was out of the office from October 16 to 23, when Plaintiff's brief was filed.
- 4. Plaintiff requests a one-week extension because Plaintiff's counsel will be traveling on business to east Asia from November 5-11, returning only two days before Plaintiff's reply brief is currently scheduled to be filed.

/// ///

///

///

///

///

41293-0001/LEGAL11987445.1

-2-

1 5. Accordingly, the undersigned hereby stipulate and request the following schedule: 2 the deadline for Defendants' opposition brief be extended one week to November 10, 2006, and 3 the deadline for Plaintiff's reply brief be extended one week to November 20, 2006. The parties 4 do not request modification of the December 8, 2006, hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary 5 Adjudication. 6 DATED: , 2006 7 PERKINS COIE LLP 8 By: s/ Scott D. Eads Kenneth B. Wilson Scott D. Eads, OSB No. 91040 9 Elizabeth Schwartz 10 Attorneys for Defendants 11 DATED: ______, 2006 **ISBESTER & ASSOCIATES, LLP** 12 13 By: s/ A. James Isbester A. James Isbester, SBN 129820 Elaine Stracker 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff Laser Design 15 International, LLC 16 Pursuant to stipulation, IT IS SO ORDERED. 17 Dated: October 26 18 19 United States District Court Judge 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Cascen 3 et 3:03-01-1079 LJS-VJS-WD Obourn erret r 4:2526 FFileet 1100/225/2000 6 Pagrea 3 et 3 et 3